Abstract

Objectives: The aims of this study are to investigate the prevalence of occult hepatitis B virus infection among patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis and to analyze the relationship between surface protein variability and occult hepatitis B virus infection, which may be related to the pathogenesis of occult hepatitis B virus infection in cryptogenic cirrhosis. Occult hepatitis B virus infection is a well-recognized clinical entity characterized by the detection of hepatitis B virus DNA in serum and/or liver in the absence of detectable hepatitis B virus surface antigen, with or without any serological markers of a past infection.

Methods: Sera from patients with cryptogenic chronic liver disease were tested for hepatitis B virus DNA using both real-time and nested PCR. In the detected hepatitis B virus DNA samples, the surface gene was analyzed for mutations.

Results: Hepatitis B virus DNA was detected in 38% of patients, all of whom had a viral load below 10,000 copies/mL. All hepatitis B virus belonged to genotype D. There were no significant associations between occult hepatitis B virus infection status and age, gender, ALT/AST levels, viral load or serologic markers of previous hepatitis B virus infection. There were 14 mutations found in 5 patients; 6 were in the major hydrophilic region, of which 4 were Y134F assigning for the "a" determinant region. All patients who acquired Y134F contained S207R (within HLA-A2-restricted CTL epitope) as a combination.

Conclusion: Hepatitis B virus surface antigen variants may arise as a result of natural selection to evade the immune surveillance of the infected host, and subsequently may go undetected by conventional hepatitis B virus surface antigen screening tests. Etiological diagnosis of cryptogenic cirrhosis is significantly underestimated with current serology testing methods alone.
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Introduction

Cryptogenic cirrhosis is a diagnosis made after excluding identifiable causes, including viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, and metabolic liver diseases. Previous studies have shown that cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) may occur in up to 30% of chronic HBV-infected patients, as well as in some of those who were previously infected with HBV but no longer show serological markers of a past infection.1-4

Occult HBV infection (OBI) is the designation given to patients negative for HBV surface antigen (HBsAg) who have PCR-detectable HBV DNA. It is classified into seropositive and seronegative OBI depending on the presence of HBV core (anti-HBc) and/or HBV surface (anti-HBs) antibodies.5 Evidence suggests that patients seronegative for HBsAg but positive for HBV DNA are at increased risk of cirrhosis and HCC,6-9 emphasizing that OBI may contribute to acute exacerbation and development of HBV-associated diseases such as hepatic inflammation, cirrhosis, and HCC.10 Several studies have also demonstrated the presence of HBV DNA in the serum and/or liver of patients who lack serologic markers for HBV and hepatitis C virus (HCV), and in patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis.11-15

The reason for the lack of circulating HBsAg in HBV DNA-positive patients is unclear. Rearrangement in the HBV genome has been one of the proposed mechanisms; however, studies on HBV variability have so far generated conflicting results. In HBV DNA sequences obtained from serum samples of HBsAg seronegative carriers, HBV mutants with amino acid substitutions within the common "a" determinant region of HBsAg have been identified. These mutants could potentially escape vaccine-induced immunity,16-18 and may be undetectable by some assays (diagnostic-escape).19-21 Point mutations, deletions and splicing alternatives in other parts of the genome have been associated with OBI, but it is unclear whether these mutations are a cause or a consequence.22-25

Iran has an intermediate-to-low endemicity for HBV infection,26-28 and the prevalence of HBV-related cirrhosis is reported...
to be 51% to 56%. The objectives of this study were to investigate the prevalence and clinical importance of OBI in cryptogenic cirrhosis and to analyze the association of surface protein variability with OBI, which might be related to the pathogenesis of OBI in cryptogenic cirrhosis.

**Methods**

This survey was a cross sectional study on selected patients from referral cases of cryptogenic cirrhosis (with negative serologic test for HBsAg) to Tehran Hepatitis Network (THC) during the year 2010. A convenient sample was used and all available cases were included in the study. The diagnosis of cryptogenic cirrhosis was based on liver biopsies while in some patients who had contraindication, the diagnosis was made by conventional clinical, biochemical, imaging, and endoscopic criteria after all known identifiable causes were excluded by relevant investigations. All patients gave written informed consent to participate in the study prepared by the Tehran Hepatitis Network. All patients were negative for antibodies against hepatitis C (HCV), hepatitis D and human immunodeficiency virus. Patients who tested positive for HBV DNA by PCR and negative for HBsAg as well as HCV RNA and other factors implicated in the cause of chronic liver disease were labeled as OBI, as per the diagnostic criteria described below. A serum sample was drawn from each subject and stored at -80°C. Serological markers for HBV (HBsAg, anti-HBc and anti-HBs) were each checked using two different ELISA kits; Siemens (Germany), and Acon (San Diego, USA).

HBV DNA was extracted from stored serum using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, 20 µL of protease was added to 200 µL of serum in a 1.5 mL tube. Then, 200 µL of Al buffer was added, vortexed and incubated for 10 minutes at 56°C. For DNA precipitation, 200 µL of ethanol was added to the mixture and centrifuged for 1 minute. Components were transferred to a collection tube containing a filter tube. Trapped DNA was washed in two steps by AW1 and AW2 buffers to eliminate impurities, with centrifugation after each step. After centrifugation, 50 µL of elution buffer was added and the eluted DNA was stored at 20°C. HBV DNA was examined in all samples by real-time PCR (FastTrack Diagnostic, Luxembourg). Positive samples were selected for standard PCR reactions using previous methodology, with the exception of using 1.5 U Taq DNA polymerase HotStarTaq PCR (Qiagen) in each reaction mixture to increase the fidelity of the amplification process. A 5-µL aliquot of the PCR product was analyzed by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel stained by ethidium bromide and visualized under ultraviolet light. The diagnosis of OBI was made when either real-time or nested PCR showed HBV DNA in the absence of detectable HBsAg, regardless of being seropositive or negative either for anti-HBc or anti-HBs or both markers.

Direct sequencing of complete genomes was carried out (ABI-3130XL DNA Sequencer, PerkinElmer, Foster City, CA, USA) using 0.5 µL of internal primers. The comparative analysis was done using Chromas and BioEdit Package software version 7.0.5.3. Surface gene amino acid/nucleotide variations were compared with reference sequences from the GenBank database by aligning the corresponding sequences to be representative of the most frequent nucleotide found at each position from different Caucasians. Genotype D is the only genotype that has been reported among Iranian HBV-infected patients. Thus, the consensus nucleotide sequences and derived amino acid sequences from samples were compared with reference sequences of HBV genotype D (with the corresponding the most similar, AB033559, Okamoto, 1987), as well as with Iranian sequences obtained from GenBank and from our laboratory data. Any amino acid differences from the former were considered as “variants” (host HLA-determined), while any amino acid differences from the latter (Iranian database sequences) were considered as “mutations.” Using contingency tables, associations between categorical variables were analyzed using χ² and Fisher exact tests and the mean values were compared by t-test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The mean age of patients was 49 ± 14 years. Of the total 29 patients, there were 24 (83%) males and 5 (17%) females. All were negative for HBsAg, but 7 (24%) and 9 (31%) were positive for anti-HBc and anti-HBs, respectively. Also, 2 (7%) had anti-HBe alone as a past marker of HBV infection; 4 (14%) had anti-HBs alone, 5 (17%) had both antibodies and 18 (62%) had neither antibodies (results not shown). The mean ALT and AST levels were 81 ± 91 and 81 ± 92 (unit/mL), respectively. (Table 1)

Of 29 subjects, 11 (38%) were OBI positive. All the samples that were found to be positive by real-time PCR were also found to be positive by nested PCR. In OBI-positive cases, the HBV DNA levels ranged between 22 and 7138 copies/mL (results not shown). Among the 11 OBI-positive patients, the mean age was 48 ± 15 years, 82% were males and 18% were females. The number of positive patients with anti-HBc alone, anti-HBs alone, both antibodies, and neither antibody were 1 (9%), 1 (9%), 2 (18%) and 7 (64%), respectively (results not shown). The corresponding number of patients OBI negative with anti-HBc alone, anti-HBs alone, both antibodies, and neither antibody were 1 (6%), 3 (17%), 3 (17%) and 11 (61%), respectively (results not shown). No statistically significant relationship was found between OBI-positive versus OBI negative in terms of demographic, serological status and ALT or AST levels. (Table 1)

HBV surface gene and protein variability were studied by amplifying and sequencing. All were infected with genotype D, subgenotype D1 and ayw2 subtype (results not shown). Table 2 showed 37 mutations occurred at 16 nucleotide positions, of which 18 (49%) were non-synonymous (amino acid altering) and 19 (51%) were synonymous (no amino acid changing). At the amino acid level, 14 substitutions occurred in five patients; six were in MHR, of which four were Y134F assigning for the “a” determinant.
region. Six mutations occurred in two different locations: one in Q30K (isolate 14) and five in residues 207 and 208 (Table 2). Both these domains are known to be within HLA-A2-restricted CTL epitopes (29-30). Interestingly, all patients who acquired Y134F in the “a” determinant region contained S207R as a combination (Table 2). Three mutations emerged in the inter-epitopic region. Furthermore, it was possible to identify the level of surface protein evolution between isolates by measuring the ratio of synonymous to non-synonymous nucleotide sequences. The mean ratio for all sequences was 1.05 according to the number of mutations per site.

**Table 1:** Comparison of baseline characteristics of patients with and without OBI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Seropositive (n=11)</th>
<th>Seronegative (n=18)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>OBI-positive (n=11)</th>
<th>OBI-negative (n=18)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Total (n=29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean±SD)</td>
<td>53±10</td>
<td>47±15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>48±15</td>
<td>50±13</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>49±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2 (18%)</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2 (18%)</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>5 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 (82%)</td>
<td>15 (83%)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>9 (82%)</td>
<td>15 (83%)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>24 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (mean±SD) (Unit/mL)</td>
<td>93±103</td>
<td>73±84</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>85±81</td>
<td>78±98</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>81±91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (mean±SD) (Unit/mL)</td>
<td>96±126</td>
<td>72±66</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>82±72</td>
<td>80±105</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>81±92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated Anti HBc+</td>
<td>7 (64%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3 (27%)</td>
<td>4 (22%)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>7 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-HBs+</td>
<td>9 (62%)</td>
<td>7 (39%)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBI+</td>
<td>4 (36%)</td>
<td>7 (64%)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBI−</td>
<td>7 (64%)</td>
<td>11 (61%)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Amino acid mutations within surface protein of patient groups, arranged by immune epitopes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino Acid Change</th>
<th>Nucleotide Mutation</th>
<th>MHR Regain</th>
<th>CTL Epitope</th>
<th>Cryptogenic Cirrhosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100-160</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y134F, S207R</td>
<td>T213A</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C465T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C465T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C345T, A401T,</td>
<td>T134F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C618T, A619C, C623T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y134F, S207R</td>
<td>T345C, A401T,</td>
<td>Y134F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C618T, A619C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q30K, Y134F, S207R</td>
<td>C88A, T345C, A401T,</td>
<td>Y134F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C618T, A619C, T213A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y134F, S207R</td>
<td>C345T, A401T,</td>
<td>Y134F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C414T, C618T, A619C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amino acids are described by single-letter codes and numbered from the beginning of the surface protein. Only positions at which changes occurred are shown, so relative proportion of epitopic to non-epitopic areas is skewed in favor of regions where substitutions occurred.
Discussion

OBI has been reported in association with a wide range of clinical manifestations, from asymptomatic carriage to HCC. It has received increasing attention in recent years because it appears to accelerate the progression of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis, ultimately leading to HCC.1-10

In some clinical settings, OBI is unexpectedly frequent. In this study, OBI was diagnosed in 38% of patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis who had been found negative on several occasions for HBsAg. Results of this study are in agreement with others showing that OBI correlates with cryptogenic cirrhosis.3,11-15

A number of explanations for the persistence of HBV DNA in HBsAg-negative patients have been proposed, including HBV DNA in low copy numbers and low quantity of HBsAg in serum (just enough for viral assembly but below the sensitivity of assays). In the present study, HBV DNA levels in OBI-positive patients were found to be quite low, as has been observed in other studies.3,34 An alternative explanation for HBsAg negativity is mutations in the structural protein altering the antigenic properties and thus escaping detection by routine tests.4,19-21 Indeed, other studies have found surface variants in a number of patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis and OBI.11,13 In this study, 5 out of 11 OBI-positive patients had mutations within the major hydrophilic region of the surface protein, encompassing amino acid residues 100-160, including the "a" determinant region.

Most of the amino acid changes observed in the present study were clustered in two regions: the "a" determinant region and residues 204-215 of the small surface protein. These residues have been shown to stimulate the host B cell and CTL epitopes, respectively.15,30 Findings of this study were in accordance to the findings of other studies.23,24 The presence of HBsAg mutants has been reported in some patients with chronic HBV infection who have not received either active immunization or HBIG, suggesting that pressure from the host immune system alone is able to drive the selection of HBV mutants.19,37,38 If this is the case, these persistent mutants may be responsible for liver injury.

In other studies, however, the failure to detect HBsAg in OBI was not fully explained by surface gene mutations, and no strong candidate mutations that are both shared by most OBI strains and are absent in non-OBI strains were identified.14,21,23,39,41 This possibility is strengthened by observations that OBI can be detected in individuals after spontaneous HBsAg seroclearance, and OBI in these cases appears to represent leftover virus in the liver after HBsAg seroconversion.42 In this scenario, the viral genetic changes may not be the cause of OBI but may instead be characteristic of less fit viral sequences that are not as quickly cleared from the liver by the immune system. In this study, 6 out of 11 OBI-positive patients did not contain any surface protein mutations. Studies that have analyzed the full viral genome have reported different results.23,24,43 Moreover, no relationship was found between the presence of OBI and demographic, biochemical (AST, ALT), or serologic (anti-HBs, anti-HBe) features. Thus, none of these parameters were useful for distinguishing OBI-positive from OBI-negative patients.

Interestingly, the ratio between synonymous and non-synonymous nucleotide sequences in OBI-positive patients was 1.05. This means that negative selection pressure had already been exerted on the surface protein (due to immune or functional constraints) after a long-lasting chronic HBV infection. Comparing to genotypes B and C from cirrhotic patients in GenBank (results not shown), the occurrence of so few substitutions in genotype D suggests that considerable constraints must exist against HBV variability in a particular genotype infecting a person of a particular background, or that genetic drift in Iranian genotype D is relatively slow. A definite conclusion would require a cohort study involving mutational analysis of multiple genotypes and stages of infection in chronically infected individuals ranging from inactive carriers to HCC cases.

The present study had some limitations that must be recognized. First, cross-sectional studies are carried out either at a single point in time or over a short period. Thus, associations identified in cross-sectional studies should not be considered as a causal relationship. Second, the sample size was small. Third, the samples were selected conveniently and not randomly. Therefore, the current findings may not represent the whole population of Iranian cryptogenic cirrhosis patients.

Conclusion

This study suggests that diagnosis of cryptogenic cirrhosis based on HBsAg testing alone may miss a substantial proportion of HBV infections, and that assaying for HBV DNA is therefore important in HBsAg-negative patients. As HBV-related cirrhosis carries a high risk of development of HCC, follow-up studies should be conducted on OBI-positive patients to assess its significance in the progression of liver disease, including HCC.
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